

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The Draft EIS/EIR is provided in three separately bound volumes as noted in this
Table of Contents

THIS VOLUME IS VOLUME III

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	S-1
S.1 OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT AREA.....	S-1
S.2 PURPOSE AND NEED.....	S-2
S.2.1 Project Purpose	S-2
S.2.2 Project Need.....	S-3
S.3 PROPOSED ACTION	S-12
S.3.1 Alternatives	S-12
S.3.2 Identification of a Locally Preferred Alternative	S-20
S.4 JOINT CEQA/NEPA DOCUMENT	S-21
S.5 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES.....	S-22
S.5.1 Land Use	S-22
S.5.2 Growth	S-24
S.5.3 Farmlands and Timberlands.....	S-26
S.5.4 Community Impacts and Relocation.....	S-26
S.5.5 Utilities and Emergency Services	S-29
S.5.6 Traffic and Transportation/Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities	S-30
S.5.7 Visual and Aesthetics.....	S-32
S.5.8 Cultural Resources	S-33
S.5.9 Hydrology and Floodplains.....	S-34
S.5.10 Water Quality and Storm Water Runoff	S-35
S.5.11 Geology, Soils, Seismic, and Topography	S-36
S.5.12 Paleontology.....	S-37
S.5.13 Hazardous Waste and Materials.....	S-37
S.5.14 Air Quality	S-38
S.5.15 Noise	S-39
S.5.16 Energy	S-40
S.5.17 Natural Communities	S-40
S.5.18 Wetlands and Other Waters of the United States.....	S-42
S.5.19 Plant Species	S-42
S.5.20 Animal Species	S-43
S.5.21 Threatened and Endangered Species.....	S-44
S.5.22 Invasive Species	S-45
S.5.23 Cumulative Impacts	S-45
S.5.24 Section 4(f) Properties.....	S-46
S.6 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS UNDER CEQA AFTER MITIGATION	S-47
S.7 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY AND UNRESOLVED ISSUES	S-48
S.8 COORDINATION WITH PUBLIC AND OTHER AGENCIES.....	S-48
CHAPTER 1 PROPOSED PROJECT.....	1-1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1-1

1.2	PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED	1-10
1.2.1	Project Purpose	1-10
1.2.2	Project Need	1-11
CHAPTER 2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY	2-1
2.2	ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPMENT PROCESS	2-1
2.2.1	Development of Initial MCP Alternatives	2-5
2.2.2	Alternatives Refinement Process	2-11
2.3	MCP SEGMENT DESCRIPTIONS.....	2-19
2.3.1	Temescal Wash Area with Collector-Distributor Roads Segment.....	2-26
2.3.2	Lake Mathews South Segment	2-26
2.3.3	Lake Mathews North General Plan Segment.....	2-27
2.3.4	Lake Mathews South General Plan Segment.....	2-27
2.3.5	Mead Valley Segment	2-28
2.3.6	Far South Segment.....	2-28
2.3.7	Connector Perris 1 Segment	2-28
2.3.8	Connector Perris 3 Segment	2-28
2.3.9	Perris Drain Segment.....	2-29
2.3.10	Rider Street Segment	2-29
2.3.11	Placentia Avenue/Perris Boulevard Depressed Grade Segment.....	2-29
2.3.12	San Jacinto Segment.....	2-29
2.3.13	San Jacinto South Segment.....	2-30
2.3.14	Temescal Wash Area Segment Design Variation.....	2-30
2.3.15	Connector Perris 2 Segment Design Variation	2-30
2.3.16	Placentia Avenue/Perris Boulevard Elevated Grade Segment Design Variation	2-30
2.3.17	San Jacinto North Segment Design Variation	2-31
2.4	ALTERNATIVES	2-31
2.4.1	Alternative 1A: No Project/No Action—Existing Ground Conditions	2-33
2.4.2	Alternative 1B: No Project/No Action—General Plan Circulation Element Conditions.....	2-33
2.4.3	Alternative 4: South of Lake Mathews/North Perris (Drain)	2-33
2.4.4	Alternative 5: South of Lake Mathews/South Perris (at Rider Street).....	2-39
2.4.5	Alternative 6: General Plan North and South of Lake Mathews/North Perris (Drain)	2-45
2.4.6	Alternative 7: General Plan North and South of Lake Mathews/South Perris (at Rider Street).....	2-52
2.4.7	Alternative 9: Far South/Placentia Avenue	2-58
2.4.8	Design Variations	2-63
2.5	COMMON DESIGN FEATURES OF MCP BUILD ALTERNATIVES (ALTERNATIVES 4, 5, 6, 7, AND 9).....	2-65
2.5.1	Design	2-65
2.5.2	Typical Sections	2-65
2.5.3	Interchanges.....	2-66
2.5.4	Truck-Climbing Lanes.....	2-71
2.5.5	Bridges.....	2-71
2.5.6	HOV Lanes and Park-and-Ride Facilities	2-78
2.5.7	Retaining Walls	2-79

2.5.8	Sound Walls	2-79
2.5.9	Lighting	2-89
2.5.10	Landscaping	2-90
2.5.11	Fencing and Median Barriers	2-90
2.5.12	Runoff Management/Water Quality Best Management Practices (BMPs)	2-91
2.5.13	Grading	2-95
2.5.14	Changes to Local Circulation	2-96
2.5.15	Borrow Areas/Haul Routes	2-96
2.5.16	Project Design Features to Minimize Environmental Impacts	2-99
2.5.17	Phasing	2-102
2.5.18	Railroad Involvement	2-102
2.6	UNIQUE FEATURES OF MCP BUILD ALTERNATIVES	2-102
2.6.1	Alternatives 4 and 6	2-102
2.6.2	Alternative 9	2-103
2.6.3	Cajalco Road Closure Pursuant to MSHCP Section 7.2.3 (Alternatives 4, 6, and 9)	2-103
2.7	COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES	2-105
2.8	IDENTIFICATION OF A LOCALLY PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	2-105
2.8.1	Local Governments and Organizations	2-107
2.9	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND WITHDRAWN FROM FURTHER STUDY	2-107
2.9.1	Alternatives Formally Considered and Withdrawn	2-107
2.9.2	Other Alternatives Considered	2-108
2.10	PERMITS AND APPROVALS NEEDED	2-109
CHAPTER 3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND AVOIDANCE, MINIMIZATION AND/OR MITIGATION MEASURES	3-1
	<i>HUMAN ENVIRONMENT</i>	3.1-1
3.1	LAND USE	3.1-1
3.1.1	Existing and Future Land Use	3.1-1
3.1.2	Consistency with State, Regional, and Local Plans	3.1-21
3.1.3	Parks and Recreational Facilities	3.1-35
3.2	GROWTH	3.2-1
3.2.1	Regulatory Setting	3.2-1
3.2.2	Affected Environment	3.2-1
3.2.3	Environmental Consequences	3.2-3
3.2.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.2-18
3.3	FARMLANDS/TIMBERLANDS	3.3-1
3.3.1	Regulatory Setting	3.3-1
3.3.2	Affected Environment	3.3-1
3.3.3	Environmental Consequences	3.3-13
3.3.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.3-23
3.4	COMMUNITY IMPACTS	3.4-1
3.4.1	Community Character and Cohesion	3.4-1
3.4.2	Relocations	3.4-34
3.4.3	Environmental Justice	3.4-46
3.5	UTILITIES/EMERGENCY SERVICES	3.5-1
3.5.1	Affected Environment	3.5-1
3.5.2	Environmental Consequences	3.5-4

3.5.3	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.5-14
3.6	TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION/PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE FACILITIES	3.6-1
3.6.1	Regulatory Setting	3.6-1
3.6.2	Affected Environment	3.6-1
3.6.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.6-19
3.6.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.6-31
3.7	VISUAL/AESTHETICS	3.7-1
3.7.1	Regulatory Setting	3.7-1
3.7.2	Affected Environment	3.7-1
3.7.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.7-51
3.7.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.7-76
3.8	CULTURAL RESOURCES.....	3.8-1
3.8.1	Regulatory Setting	3.8-1
3.8.2	Affected Environment	3.8-2
3.8.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.8-11
3.8.4	Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures	3.8-16
	PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	3.9-1
3.9	HYDROLOGY AND FLOODPLAINS	3.9-1
3.9.1	Regulatory Setting	3.9-1
3.9.2	Affected Environment	3.9-2
3.9.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.9-12
3.9.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.9-36
3.10	WATER QUALITY AND STORM WATER RUNOFF	3.10-1
3.10.1	Regulatory Setting	3.10-1
3.10.2	Affected Environment	3.10-1
3.10.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.10-15
3.10.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.10-29
3.11	GEOLOGY/SOILS/SEISMIC/TOPOGRAPHY	3.11-1
3.11.1	Regulatory Setting	3.11-1
3.11.2	Affected Environment	3.11-2
3.11.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.11-14
3.11.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.11-19
3.12	PALEONTOLOGY	3.12-1
3.12.1	Regulatory Setting	3.12-1
3.12.2	Affected Environment	3.12-1
3.12.3	Fossil Localities.....	3.12-3
3.12.4	Environmental Consequences.....	3.12-11
3.12.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.12-14
3.13	HAZARDOUS WASTE/MATERIALS	3.13-1
3.13.1	Regulatory Setting	3.13-1
3.13.2	Affected Environment	3.13-2
3.13.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.13-38
3.13.4	Avoidance, Minimization and/or Mitigation Measures	3.13-42
3.14	AIR QUALITY	3.14-1
3.14.1	Regulatory Setting	3.14-1
3.14.2	Affected Environment	3.14-2
3.14.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.14-14
3.14.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.14-28
3.15	NOISE	3.15-1
3.15.1	Regulatory Setting	3.15-1

3.15.2 Affected Environment..... 3.15-5

3.15.3 Impacts 3.15-51

3.15.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.15-55

3.16 ENERGY 3.16-1

3.16.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.16-1

3.16.2 Affected Environment..... 3.16-1

3.16.3 Environmental Consequences 3.16-3

3.16.4 Global Climate Change..... 3.16-6

3.16.5 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.16-11

BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT 3.17-1

3.17 NATURAL COMMUNITIES 3.17-1

3.17.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.17-1

3.17.2 Affected Environment..... 3.17-10

3.17.3 Environmental Consequences 3.17-25

3.17.4 MSHCP Equivalency Analysis – Summary 3.17-51

3.17.5 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.17-92

3.18 WETLANDS AND OTHER WATERS 3.18-1

3.18.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.18-1

3.18.2 Affected Environment..... 3.18-3

3.18.3 Environmental Consequences 3.18-10

3.18.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.18-23

3.19 PLANT SPECIES 3.19-1

3.19.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.19-1

3.19.2 Affected Environment..... 3.19-1

3.19.3 Environmental Consequences 3.19-5

3.19.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.19-9

3.20 ANIMAL SPECIES 3.20-1

3.20.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.20-1

3.20.2 Affected Environment..... 3.20-1

3.20.3 Environmental Consequences 3.20-3

3.20.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.20-7

3.21 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES 3.21-1

3.21.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.21-1

3.21.2 Affected Environment..... 3.21-2

3.21.3 Environmental Consequences 3.21-5

3.21.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.21-21

3.22 INVASIVE SPECIES 3.22-1

3.22.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.22-1

3.22.2 Affected Environment..... 3.22-1

3.22.3 Environmental Consequences 3.22-2

3.22.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.22-3

3.23 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LOCAL SHORT-TERM USES OF
THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT AND THE MAINTENANCE AND
ENHANCEMENT OF LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY 3.23-1

3.23.1 Introduction..... 3.23-1

3.23.2 Environmental Consequences 3.23-1

3.23.3 Conclusions..... 3.23-2

3.24 IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF
RESOURCES THAT WOULD BE INVOLVED IN THE
PROPOSED PROJECT 3.24-1

3.24.1 Build Alternative..... 3.24-1

3.24.2	No Build	3.24-2
3.25	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	3.25-1
3.25.1	Regulatory Setting	3.25-1
3.25.2	Methodology	3.25-1
3.25.3	Identification of Resources/Issues to Consider for Cumulative Impacts	3.25-3
3.25.4	Identification of Cumulative Plans and Projects	3.25-6
3.25.5	Environmental Consequences	3.25-23
3.25.6	Summary	3.25-50

VOLUME II

CHAPTER 4	CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) EVALUATION	4-1
4.1	DETERMINING SIGNIFICANCE UNDER CEQA	4-1
4.2	DISCUSSION OF SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACTS	4-2
4.2.1	No Impact	4-3
4.2.2	Less than Significant Effects of the Proposed Project	4-5
4.2.3	Significant Environmental Effects of the Proposed Project that Can be Mitigated to Below a Level of Significance	4-17
4.2.4	Unavoidable Significant Environmental Effects	4-28
4.2.5	Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes	4-37
4.2.6	Climate Change/Global Warming	4-37
4.3	ENERGY CONSERVATION (CEQA GUIDELINES, APPENDIX F)	4-46
4.4	MITIGATION MEASURES FOR SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS UNDER CEQA	4-47
4.5	ENVIRONMENTALLY SUPERIOR ALTERNATIVE	4-48
CHAPTER 5	COMMENTS AND COORDINATION	5-1
5.1	SCOPING PROCESS	5-1
5.1.1	Prescoping Meetings	5-1
5.1.2	Scoping Meetings	5-2
5.2	CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION WITH PUBLIC AGENCIES	5-4
5.3	RESPONSES TO THE NOTICE OF PREPARATION	5-10
5.3.1	Summary of Major Issues/Comments in Response to the Notice of Preparation	5-10
5.4	RESPONSES TO THE SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF PREPARATION	5-16
5.4.1	Summary of Major Issues/Comments Received in Response to the Supplemental Notice of Preparation	5-16
5.5	RESPONSES TO THE NOTICE OF INTENT	5-21
5.5.1	Summary of Major Issues/Comments Received in Response to the Notice of Intent	5-22
5.6	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	5-23
5.6.1	Public Participation	5-23
5.6.2	Meetings	5-23
5.6.3	Web Site	5-23
5.6.4	Newsletter	5-23
5.7	TRIBAL COORDINATION	5-24
5.7.1	Extended Phase I Survey	5-26

5.7.2 Phase II Evaluation 5-28

5.7.3 Senate Bill 18..... 5-33

CHAPTER 6 LIST OF PREPARERS 6-1

CHAPTER 7 DISTRIBUTION LIST..... 7-1

7.1 FEDERAL AGENCIES 7-2

7.2 STATE AGENCIES 7-3

7.3 REGIONAL/COUNTY/CITY AGENCIES 7-4

7.4 TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS 7-9

7.5 SCHOOL DISTRICTS 7-10

7.6 SPECIAL DISTRICTS/UTILITIES 7-10

7.7 INTERESTED PARTIES 7-11

APPENDIX A CEQA CHECKLIST A-1

APPENDIX B SECTION 4(F) EVALUATION B-1

APPENDIX C TITLE VI POLICY STATEMENT..... C-1

APPENDIX D SUMMARY OF RELOCATION BENEFITS D-1

APPENDIX E GLOSSARY OF TECHNICAL TERMS..... E-1

APPENDIX F ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS RECORD F-1

APPENDIX G LIST OF ACRONYMS..... G-1

APPENDIX H LIST OF TECHNICAL STUDIES H-1

APPENDIX I CHAPTER 2 ATTACHMENTS I-1

APPENDIX J SUPPLEMENTAL CHAPTER 5 ATTACHMENTS J-1

VOLUME III

APPENDIX K REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (RTIP) K-1

APPENDIX L UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE (USFWS) LETTER..... L-1

APPENDIX M FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAPS (FIRMS)..... M-1

APPENDIX N 404(B)(1) ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS N-1

APPENDIX O REGIONAL SPECIES OF CONCERN AND COVERAGE UNDER HABITAT CONSERVATION PLANS..... O-1

APPENDIX P PARCEL ACQUISITIONS..... P-1

APPENDIX Q CONCEPTUAL MITIGATION PLAN FOR IMPACTS TO WETLANDS AND OTHER WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES..... Q-1

APPENDIX R REFERENCES 1

APPENDIX S INDEX..... 1

This page intentionally left blank

List of Figures

Figure 1.1.1 Regional Location	1-3
Figure 1.1.2 Hemet to Corona/Lake Elsinore Study Area	1-7
Figure 1.2.1 Circulation Element.....	1-13
Figure 1.2.2 Freeways and Other State Highways.....	1-19
Figure 1.2.3 Jurisdictional Boundaries	1-29
Figure 1.2.4 Related Transportation Improvement Projects	1-33
Figure 2.1.1 Project Vicinity and Study Area.....	2-3
Figure 2.2.1 Engineering and Environmental Constraints	2-9
Figure 2.3.1a Study Area Segments.....	2-21
Figure 2.3.1b Study Area Segments	2-23
Figure 2.4.1a Alternative 4	2-35
Figure 2.4.1b Alternative 4	2-37
Figure 2.4.2a Alternative 5	2-41
Figure 2.4.2b Alternative 5	2-43
Figure 2.4.3a Alternative 6	2-47
Figure 2.4.3b Alternative 6	2-49
Figure 2.4.4a Alternative 7	2-53
Figure 2.4.4b Alternative 7	2-55
Figure 2.4.5a Alternative 9	2-59
Figure 2.4.5b Alternative 9	2-61
Figure 2.5.1 Typical Cross Sections: Parkway Alternatives.....	2-67
Figure 2.5.2 Typical Cross Sections: General Plan	2-69
Figure 2.5.3 Typical Local Street Interchanges	2-73
Figure 2.5.4a Cut, Fill and Retaining Wall.....	2-81
Figure 2.5.4b Cut, Fill and Retaining Wall.....	2-83
Figure 2.5.4c Cut, Fill and Retaining Wall.....	2-85
Figure 2.5.4d Cut, Fill and Retaining Wall.....	2-87
Figure 2.5.5 Local Borrow and Disposal Sites	2-97
Figure 3.1.1 Existing Land Uses.....	3.1-3
Figure 3.1.2 Section 4(f) Properties and Recreation Resources.....	3.1-37
Figure 3.1.3 Alternative 9 with the Depressed Grade Design at Paragon Park	3.1-45
Figure 3.1.4 Alternative 9 With Elevated Grade Design at Paragon Park	3.1-47
Figure 3.2.1 Areas Targeted for Growth in the MCP Study Area	3.2-5
Figure 3.3.1 Designated Farmlands in MCP Study Area.....	3.3-3
Figure 3.3.2 Agricultural Preserves	3.3-15
Figure 3.4.1a Study Area Census Tracts 1990.....	3.4-5
Figure 3.4.1b Study Area Census Tracts 2000.....	3.4-7
Figure 3.4.2 Non-White Population 1990 and 2000	3.4-11
Figure 3.4.3 Hispanic Population 1990 and 2000	3.4-13
Figure 3.4.4a I-15/El Cerrito Interchange 2035 in Average Daily Traffic with Closure of Southbound On-Ramp and Northbound Off-Ramp.....	3.4-27
Figure 3.4.4b Commute Patterns of I-15/El Cerrito Road	3.4-29
Figure 3.4.5 Poverty 1990 and 2000.....	3.4-49
Figure 3.4.6 Median Household Income 1990 and 2000	3.4-53
Figure 3.5.1a Utilities/Emergency Services Facilities	3.5-5
Figure 3.5.1b Utilities/Emergency Services Facilities.....	3.5-7
Figure 3.6.1 LOS for Freeway Facilities.....	3.6-3
Figure 3.6.2 LOS for Signalized Intersections.....	3.6-5

List of Figures

Figure 3.6.3 General Plan Trails.....3.6-17

Figure 3.7.1 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 2.....3.7-9

Figure 3.7.2 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 3.....3.7-11

Figure 3.7.3 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 4.....3.7-15

Figure 3.7.4 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 7.....3.7-17

Figure 3.7.5 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 10.....3.7-19

Figure 3.7.6 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 14.....3.7-23

Figure 3.7.7 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 17.....3.7-25

Figure 3.7.8 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 18.....3.7-29

Figure 3.7.9 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 19.....3.7-31

Figure 3.7.10 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 22.....3.7-35

Figure 3.7.11 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 23b.....3.7-37

Figure 3.7.12 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 24.....3.7-41

Figure 3.7.13 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 25.....3.7-43

Figure 3.7.14 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 26.....3.7-47

Figure 3.7.15 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 27.....3.7-49

Figure 3.7.16 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 28.....3.7-53

Figure 3.7.17 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 29.....3.7-55

Figure 3.9.1 Watershed Boundaries3.9-3

Figure 3.9.2a FEMA 100-Year Floodplain Overview Map3.9-7

Figure 3.9.2b FEMA 100-Year Floodplain Overview Map3.9-9

Figure 3.9.3 Temescal Wash3.9-15

Figure 3.9.4 Cajalco Creek.....3.9-19

Figure 3.9.5 Perris Valley Storm Drain.....3.9-23

Figure 3.9.6 San Jacinto River Floodplain West of Lakeview Avenue.....3.9-25

Figure 3.9.7 San Jacinto River at Mid County Parkway/State Route 79 Interchange.....3.9-29

Figure 3.10.1 Surface Waters3.10-3

Figure 3.10.2 Groundwater Basins.....3.10-13

Figure 3.10.3a Proposed BMP Locations.....3.10-19

Figure 3.10.3b Proposed BMP Locations.....3.10-21

Figure 3.11.1 Landforms and Topographic Features3.11-3

Figure 3.11.2 Fault Zones.....3.11-7

Figure 3.12.1 Paleontological Resource Sensitivity Map.....3.12-7

Figure 3.13.1 Hazardous Release Sites3.13-17

Figure 3.14.1 SCAQMD Monitoring Network within the South Coast Air Basin.....3.14-7

Figure 3.15.1 Monitored and Modeled Receptor Locations.....3.15-7

Figure 3.15.2 Alternative 4, 5, 6, 7, 9 Modeled Sound Wall and Receptor Locations...3.15-57

Figure 3.16-1: 2004 Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Sector (480 MMTCO₂eq. Net Emissions).....3.16-9

Figure 3.17.1a Western Riverside County MSHCP Public/Quasi-Public Lands and Criteria Area3.17-5

Figure 3.17.1b Western Riverside County MSHCP Public/Quasi-Public Lands and Criteria Area3.17-7

Figure 3.17.2a Lake Mathews and El Sobrante Landfill Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan Areas and Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Reserves.....3.17-11

Figure 3.17.2b Lake Mathews and El Sobrante Landfill Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan Areas and Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Reserves.....3.17-13

Figure 3.17.2c Lake Mathews and El Sobrante Landfill Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan Areas and Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Reserves.....3.17-15

Figure 3.18.1 Jurisdictional Delineation Reaches3.18-5

Figure 3.21.1a Critical Habitat and Listed Species Occurrences3.21-9

Figure 3.21.1b Critical Habitat and Listed Species Occurrences..... 3.21-11
Figure 3.25.1 Cumulative Projects..... 3.25-13

This page intentionally left blank

List of Tables

Table S.1 Summary of Impacts.....	S-49
Table S.2 Summary of Use Impacts to Section 4(f) Properties by Alternative	S-85
Table S.3 Evaluation of Net Harm after Mitigation.....	S-86
Table S.4 Permits and Approvals Needed	S-89
Table 1.1.A Preliminary Project Cost Estimate	1-9
Table 1.2.A Service Levels for Existing and Proposed Conditions.....	1-15
Table 1.2.B Accident Data on I-15 Mainline and Ramps	1-23
Table 1.2.C Accident Data on I-215 – Oleander Avenue to Nuevo Road	1-24
Table 1.2.D Summary of Accident History, Cajalco/Ramona Corridor, 2001 through 2003	1-24
Table 2.4.A Mid County Parkway Segments Representing Each Build Alternative.....	2-32
Table 2.5.A Mainline MCP Service Interchange Configuration Types.....	2-72
Table 2.5.B Retaining Wall Summary by Alternative	2-79
Table 2.5.C Sound Walls by Alternative	2-89
Table 2.5.D Quantities of Potential BMPs to be Implemented in the Project Area.....	2-94
Table 2.5.E Earthwork by Alternative	2-95
Table 2.5.F Earthwork Truck Hours by Alternative	2-99
Table 2.7.A Cost Breakdown for the MCP Build Alternatives.....	2-105
Table 2.9.A Summary of Alternatives Withdrawn from Further Study	2-108
Table 2.10.A Permits and Approvals Needed.....	2-111
Table 3.1.A Existing Land Use Impacts by Alternative per Hectare (Acre)	3.1-17
Table 3.1.B Park and Recreational Facility Use Impacts and Consideration of Net Harm after Mitigation.....	3.1-42
Table 3.3.A MCP Study Area Farmland Acreages by Category	3.3-11
Table 3.3.B Form NRCS-CPA-106 Final Scoring.....	3.3-18
Table 3.3.C Impacts to Farmland per Hectare (Acre).....	3.3-19
Table 3.3.D Williamson Act Preserves	3.3-20
Table 3.4.A Ethnic Composition	3.4-10
Table 3.4.B Housing Profile	3.4-15
Table 3.4.C Employment in the Study Area Cities and the County Overall.....	3.4-19
Table 3.4.D Travel Patterns (2000).....	3.4-19
Table 3.4.E Full and Partial Displacements by Alternative	3.4-36
Table 3.4.F Number of Displaced Employees by Alternative and Jurisdiction.....	3.4-37
Table 3.4.G Property Tax Revenue Losses by Alternative and Jurisdiction.....	3.4-40
Table 3.4.H Revenue Losses by Alternative and Jurisdiction	3.4-42
Table 3.4.I Environmental Justice Considerations by Alternative.....	3.4-55
Table 3.5.A Utility Impacts	3.5-10
Table 3.6.A Existing (2005) and Design Year (2035) Freeway and Ramp Capacity Analysis: Interstate 15.....	3.6-9
Table 3.6.B Existing (2005) and Design Year (2035) Intersection Capacity Analysis: Interstate 15 Area	3.6-10
Table 3.6.C Existing (2005) and Design Year (2035) Freeway and Ramp Capacity Analysis: Interstate 215.....	3.6-11
Table 3.6.D Existing (2005) and Design Year (2035) Intersection Capacity Analysis: Interstate 215 Area	3.6-12
Table 3.6.E Existing (2005) and Design Year (2035) Intersection Capacity Analysis: Interstate 15 to Interstate 215 Area	3.6-13

Table 3.6.F Existing (2005) and Design Year (2035) Intersection Capacity Analysis: Interstate 215 to State Route 79 Area	3.6-15
Table 3.6.G 2035 MCP Mainline Eastbound Traffic Condition	3.6-21
Table 3.6.H 2035 MCP Mainline Westbound Traffic Condition	3.6-22
Table 3.6.I 2035 MCP Intersection Traffic Condition: Interstate 15 to Interstate 215	3.6-23
Table 3.6.J 2035 MCP Intersection Traffic Condition: Interstate 215 to State Route 79	3.6-24
Table 3.6.K Vehicle Miles Traveled within the MCP Study Area.....	3.6-27
Table 3.6.L Alternative 1B Intersection Capacity Analysis: Interstate 15 to Interstate 215 Area.....	3.6-28
Table 3.7.A Key View Summary	3.7-7
Table 3.7.B Existing and Future Visual Quality	3.7-57
Table 3.8.A Eligible Cultural Resources in the Area of Potential Effects	3.8-5
Table 3.9.A Summary of Proposed Transverse and Longitudinal Floodplain Encroachments by Segment and Build Alternative	3.9-13
Table 3.10.A Beneficial Uses in the Santa Ana River, San Jacinto River, and Associated Tributaries and Lakes	3.10-9
Table 3.10.B Surface Water Quality Objectives for All Inland Waters	3.10-10
Table 3.10.C Maximum Concentrations (mg/L) Applicable to the Santa Ana and San Jacinto Rivers, Lake Mathews, and Lake Perris	3.10-11
Table 3.10.D Groundwater Quality Objectives (mg/L).....	3.10-15
Table 3.10.E Change in Pollutant Concentration (mg/L) Compared to Existing Conditions.....	3.10-24
Table 3.10.F Change in Pollutant Loading (lbs/yr) Compared to Existing Conditions.....	3.10-24
Table 3.10.G Anticipated Total Metals Concentrations Compared with Water Quality Criteria	3.10-26
Table 3.11.A Active Faults in the Mid County Parkway Study Area	3.11-9
Table 3.11.B Cut-and-Fill Material by Alternative	3.11-16
Table 3.12.A Fossil Localities within the Mid County Parkway Study Area by Formation.....	3.12-4
Table 3.12.B MCP Build Alternatives in Relation to Sensitive Formations	3.12-13
Table 3.13.A Hazardous Substance Releases within the Proposed Right of Way (All Alternatives)	3.13-3
Table 3.13.B Hazardous Release/Use/Storage/Generators within 0.4 km (0.25 mi) of the Proposed Right of Way (All Alternatives).....	3.13-5
Table 3.13.C Number of Hazardous Release/Use/Storage/Generators for Each MCP Build Alternative within 0.4 km (0.25 mi).....	3.13-39
Table 3.14.A Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.14-5
Table 3.14.B Ambient Air Quality Monitored at the Perris Air Monitoring Station	3.14-9
Table 3.14.C Ambient Air Quality Monitored at the Lake Elsinore Air Monitoring Station	3.14-10
Table 3.14.D Ambient Air Quality Monitored at the Riverside-Rubidoux Air Monitoring Station.....	3.14-11
Table 3.14.E Attainment Status of Criteria Pollutants in the South Coast Air Basin.....	3.14-12
Table 3.14.F Daily PM _{2.5} Emissions	3.14-16
Table 3.14.G Daily PM ₁₀ Emissions	3.14-16
Table 3.14.H Vehicle Miles Traveled within MCP Study Area.....	3.14-24
Table 3.14.I Vehicle Miles Traveled within SCAG Region.....	3.14-24
Table 3.14.J MSAT Emissions for the MCP Study Area (lbs/day).....	3.14-25

Table 3.14.K MSAT Emissions for the SCAG Region (lbs/day) 3.14-25

Table 3.15.A Noise Abatement Criteria..... 3.15-3

Table 3.15.B Typical Noise Levels..... 3.15-3

Table 3.15.C Measured Existing Noise Levels..... 3.15-26

Table 3.15.D Existing and Predicted Future Noise and Wall Analysis 3.15-29

Table 3.15.E Noise Monitoring Results..... 3.15-51

Table 3.15.F Typical Construction Equipment Noise Levels..... 3.15-53

Table 3.15.G Summary of Abatement Key Information 3.15-118

Table 3.15.H Feasible and Reasonable Sound Barriers 3.15-125

Table 3.16.A Annual Transportation Energy Consumption in the SCAG Region
for Base (Years as Indicated) 3.16-2

Table 3.16.B MCP Study Area Daily Fuel Consumption Comparison 3.16-4

Table 3.16.C SCAG Region Daily Fuel Consumption Comparison..... 3.16-4

Table 3.17.A Land Cover within the BSA..... 3.17-17

Table 3.17.B Permanent Impacts to Land Cover Categories..... 3.17-26

Table 3.17.C Permanent Impacts to Riparian/Riverine Areas 3.17-27

Table 3.17.D Permanent Impacts to Other Natural Communities of Special
Concern 3.17-29

Table 3.17.E Impacts to Least Bell’s Vireo Habitat Suitable for Long-Term
Conservation..... 3.17-33

Table 3.17.F Impacts to Habitat Suitable for Long-Term Conservation of Narrow
Endemic Plant Species 3.17-35

Table 3.17.G Impacts to Habitat Suitable for Long-Term Conservation of
Additional Survey Species 3.17-37

Table 3.17.H Impacts to MSHCP Criteria Area 3.17-39

Table 3.17.I Impacts to MSHCP Cores and Linkages, ha (ac) 3.17-41

Table 3.17.J Impacts to MSHCP Public/Quasi-Public Lands, ha (ac)..... 3.17-42

Table 3.17.K Total Impacts to Land Cover Categories within Lake Mathews
MSHCP, ha (ac) 3.17-44

Table 3.17.L Total Impacts to Land Cover Categories within the El Sobrante
Landfill MSHCP, ha (ac) 3.17-46

Table 3.17.M Total Impacts to Land Cover Categories within Stephens’ Kangaroo
Rat Reserve, ha (ac) 3.17-49

Table 3.17.N Temporary Impacts to MSHCP Riparian/Riverine Areas..... 3.17-52

Table 3.17.O Habitat Effects by MSHCP Vegetation Community, ha (ac) 3.17-56

Table 3.17.P Impacts from Alternative 9 TWS DV on Reserve Features, ha (ac) 3.17-66

Table 3.17.Q FRAGSTATS Comparison Table 3.17-71

Table 3.17.R Ecotones within the Mid County Parkway Study Area..... 3.17-74

Table 3.18.A Summary of CDFG and USACE Jurisdictional Areas 3.18-7

Table 3.18.B Wetlands Functions and Values 3.18-8

Table 3.18.C Permanent Impacts to USACE Jurisdictional Areas 3.18-11

Table 3.18.D Area of Direct Impacts to CDFG Jurisdictional Areas per Land Use
Type..... 3.18-13

Table 3.18.E Potential Direct Impacts to Waters of the United States and Riparian
Ecosystems 3.18-16

Table 3.18.F Sum of Normalized Rank Scores of All 15 Criteria 3.18-17

Table 3.18.G Temporary Impacts to USACE Jurisdictional Areas 3.18-21

Table 3.18.H Temporary Impacts to CDFG Jurisdictional Areas by Land Use
Category 3.18-22

Table 3.21.A Impacts to Threatened and Endangered Species 3.21-7

Table 3.25.A Cultural Resources Cumulative Impacts..... 3.25-36

List of Tables

Table 4.2.A SCREEN3 Input Parameters.....4-8
Table 4.2.B Results of Health Risk Assessment Modeling.....4-9
Table 4.2.C Summary of Historical Resources4-12
Table 4.2.D Vehicle Miles Traveled within the SCAG Region4-43
Table 4.2.E CO₂ Emissions within the SCAG Region4-44