

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME I

SUMMARY	ES-1
CHAPTER 1 PROPOSED PROJECT	1-1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.2 BACKGROUND	1-5
1.2.1 Funding and Programming	1-12
1.3 PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED	1-14
1.3.1 Project Purpose	1-14
1.3.2 Project Need	1-15
CHAPTER 2 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY	2-1
2.2 PROJECT BACKGROUND	2-5
2.2.1 Development of MCP Alternatives	2-6
2.3 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	2-8
2.3.1 Project Build Alternatives	2-9
2.3.2 Common Design Features of the Build Alternatives (Alternatives 4 Modified, 5 Modified, and 9 Modified)	2-23
2.3.3 Unique Features of MCP Build Alternatives	2-66
2.3.4 No Build/No Action Alternatives	2-67
2.4 COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES	2-69
2.5 IDENTIFICATION OF A PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	2-70
2.5.1 Local Governments and Organizations	2-70
2.5.2 Public	2-78
2.5.3 Preliminary Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative	2-78
2.5.4 Preliminary LEDPA Determination	2-97
2.5.5 Identification of the Preferred Alternative	2-98
2.5.6 Refinements of the Preliminary Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative/Preferred Alternative	2-98
2.5.7 Conceptual Plans for the Preferred Alternative	2-114
2.5.8 Cost Estimate for the Preferred Alternative	2-117
2.6 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND WITHDRAWN FROM FURTHER STUDY	2-117
2.6.1 Alternatives Formally Considered and Withdrawn	2-117
2.7 PERMITS AND APPROVALS NEEDED	2-121
CHAPTER 3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND AVOIDANCE, MINIMIZATION, AND/OR MITIGATION MEASURES	3-1
<i>HUMAN ENVIRONMENT</i>	3-1-1
3.1 LAND USE	3-1-1
3.1.1 Existing and Future Land Use	3-1-1
3.1.2 Consistency with State, Regional, and Local Plans	3-1-44
3.1.3 Parks and Recreational Facilities	3-1-57
3.2 GROWTH	3-2-1
3.2.1 Regulatory Setting	3-2-1
3.2.2 Affected Environment	3-2-1

3.2.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.2-4
3.2.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.2-19
3.3	FARMLANDS/TIMBERLANDS	3.3-1
3.3.1	Regulatory Setting	3.3-1
3.3.2	Affected Environment	3.3-1
3.3.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.3-7
3.3.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.3-13
3.4	COMMUNITY IMPACTS.....	3.4-1
3.4.1	Community Character and Cohesion.....	3.4-1
3.4.2	Relocations and Real Property Acquisition.....	3.4-32
3.4.3	Environmental Justice.....	3.4-42
3.5	UTILITIES/EMERGENCY SERVICES.....	3.5-1
3.5.1	Affected Environment	3.5-1
3.5.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3.5-3
3.5.3	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.5-11
3.6	TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION/PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE FACILITIES	3.6-1
3.6.1	Regulatory Setting	3.6-1
3.6.2	Affected Environment	3.6-1
3.6.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.6-23
3.6.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.6-56
3.7	VISUAL/AESTHETICS	3.7-1
3.7.1	Regulatory Setting	3.7-1
3.7.2	Affected Environment	3.7-1
3.7.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.7-51
3.7.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.7-66
3.8	CULTURAL RESOURCES.....	3.8-1
3.8.1	Regulatory Setting	3.8-1
3.8.2	Affected Environment	3.8-2
3.8.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.8-14
3.8.4	Memorandum of Agreement.....	3.8-22
3.8.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.8-25
	<i>PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT</i>	3.9-1
3.9	HYDROLOGY AND FLOODPLAINS	3.9-1
3.9.1	Regulatory Setting	3.9-1
3.9.2	Affected Environment	3.9-1
3.9.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.9-10
3.9.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.9-28
3.10	WATER QUALITY AND STORM WATER RUNOFF	3.10-1
3.10.1	Regulatory Setting	3.10-1
3.10.2	Affected Environment	3.10-5
3.10.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.10-17
3.10.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.10-36
3.11	GEOLOGY/SOILS/SEISMIC/TOPOGRAPHY	3.11-1
3.11.1	Regulatory Setting	3.11-1
3.11.2	Affected Environment	3.11-1
3.11.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.11-14
3.11.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.11-19
3.12	PALEONTOLOGY	3.12-1
3.12.1	Regulatory Setting	3.12-1
3.12.2	Affected Environment	3.12-1

3.12.3 Environmental Consequences 3.12-9

3.12.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.12-16

3.13 HAZARDOUS WASTE/MATERIALS 3.13-1

3.13.1 Regulatory Setting 3.13-1

3.13.2 Affected Environment 3.13-2

3.13.3 Environmental Consequences 3.13-31

3.13.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.13-36

3.14 AIR QUALITY 3.14-1

3.14.1 Regulatory Setting 3.14-1

3.14.2 Affected Environment 3.14-3

3.14.3 Environmental Consequences 3.14-9

3.14.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.14-54

3.15 NOISE AND VIBRATION 3.15-1

3.15.1 Regulatory Setting 3.15-1

3.15.2 Affected Environment 3.15-5

3.15.3 Environmental Consequences 3.15-67

3.15.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Abatement Measures 3.15-104

3.16 ENERGY 3.16-1

3.16.1 Regulatory Setting 3.16-1

3.16.2 Affected Environment 3.16-1

3.16.3 Environmental Consequences 3.16-3

3.16.4 Temporary Impacts 3.16-7

3.16.5 Global Climate Change 3.16-7

3.16.6 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.16-8

3.17 NATURAL COMMUNITIES 3.17-1

3.17.1 Regulatory Setting 3.17-1

3.17.2 Affected Environment 3.17-11

3.17.3 Environmental Consequences 3.17-16

3.17.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.17-58

3.18 WETLANDS AND OTHER WATERS 3.18-1

3.18.1 Regulatory Setting 3.18-1

3.18.2 Affected Environment 3.18-3

3.18.3 Environmental Consequences 3.18-15

3.18.4 Refinements to Preferred Alternative Impact Analysis 3.18-43

3.18.5 Wetlands Only Practicable Finding 3.18-43

3.18.6 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.18-45

3.19 PLANT SPECIES 3.19-1

3.19.1 Regulatory Setting 3.19-1

3.19.2 Affected Environment 3.19-1

3.19.3 Environmental Consequences 3.19-4

3.19.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.19-7

3.20 ANIMAL SPECIES 3.20-1

3.20.1 Regulatory Setting 3.20-1

3.20.2 Affected Environment 3.20-1

3.20.3 Environmental Consequences 3.20-4

3.20.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.20-10

3.21 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES 3.21-1

3.21.1 Regulatory Setting 3.21-1

3.21.2 Affected Environment 3.21-2

3.21.3 Environmental Consequences 3.21-5

3.21.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.21-19

3.22	INVASIVE SPECIES.....	3.22-1
3.22.1	Regulatory Setting	3.22-1
3.22.2	Affected Environment	3.22-1
3.22.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.22-2
3.22.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.22-3
3.23	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LOCAL SHORT-TERM USES OF THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT AND THE MAINTENANCE AND ENHANCEMENT OF LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY	3.23-1
3.23.1	Introduction	3.23-1
3.23.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3.23-1
3.23.3	Conclusions	3.23-2
3.24	IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF RESOURCES THAT WOULD BE INVOLVED IN THE PROPOSED PROJECT.....	3.24-1
3.24.1	Build Alternatives.....	3.24-1
3.24.2	No Build Alternatives	3.24-1
3.25	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	3.25-1
3.25.1	Regulatory Setting	3.25-1
3.25.2	Methodology.....	3.25-1
3.25.3	Identification of Resources/Issues to Consider for Cumulative Impacts	3.25-4
3.25.4	Identification of Cumulative Plans and Projects.....	3.25-15
3.25.5	Environmental Consequences.....	3.25-23
3.25.6	Summary.....	3.25-53
CHAPTER 4 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT EVALUATION.....4-1		
4.1	OVERVIEW	4-1
4.2	DETERMINING SIGNIFICANCE UNDER CEQA	4-1
4.3	CEQA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST.....	4-2
4.4	DISCUSSION OF CEQA CHECKLIST RESPONSES.....	4-4
4.4.1	Mitigation Measures	4-19
4.5	CLIMATE CHANGE.....	4-124
4.5.1	Checklist Question: VII.....	4-124
4.6	ENERGY CONSERVATION.....	4-142
4.7	MITIGATION MEASURES FOR SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS UNDER CEQA	4-144
4.7.1	Measures for Aesthetics.....	4-144
4.7.2	Measures for Agriculture and Forest Resources.....	4-144
4.7.3	Measures for Air Quality.....	4-144
4.7.4	Measures for Biological Resources	4-144
4.7.5	Measures for Cultural Resources.....	4-145
4.7.6	Measures for Geology and Soils.....	4-146
4.7.7	Measures for Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	4-146
4.7.8	Measures for Hazards and Hazardous Materials	4-146
4.7.9	Measures and Conditions for Hydrology and Water Quality	4-146
4.7.10	Measures for Land Use and Planning.....	4-146
4.7.11	Measures for Mineral Resources	4-147
4.7.12	Measures for Noise.....	4-147
4.7.13	Measures for Population and Housing.....	4-147
4.7.14	Measures for Public Services.....	4-147
4.7.15	Measures for Recreation.....	4-147

4.7.16 Measures for Transportation/Traffic 4-147

4.7.17 Measures for Utilities and Service Systems 4-148

4.8 COMPARISON OF THE ALTERNATIVES AND IDENTIFICATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTALLY SUPERIOR ALTERNATIVE..... 4-148

CHAPTER 5 COMMENTS AND COORDINATION 5-1

5.1 SCOPING PROCESS 5-1

5.1.1 Prescoping Meetings 5-1

5.1.2 Scoping Meetings..... 5-2

5.2 NOTICE OF PREPARATION 5-4

5.2.1 Summary of Major Issues/Comments in Response to the Notice of Preparation..... 5-4

5.3 SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF PREPARATION 5-10

5.3.1 Summary of Major Issues/Comments Received in Response to the Supplemental Notice of Preparation 5-11

5.4 NOTICE OF INTENT 5-15

5.4.1 Summary of Major Issues/Comments Received in Response to the Notice of Intent..... 5-16

5.5 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION WITH PUBLIC AGENCIES 5-17

5.6 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION 5-27

5.6.1 Meetings..... 5-27

5.6.2 Website..... 5-29

5.6.3 Newsletter 5-29

5.7 TRIBAL COORDINATION..... 5-30

5.7.1 Extended Phase I Survey..... 5-31

5.7.2 Phase II Evaluation 5-34

5.7.3 Preliminary Recommendations of Eligibility and Level of Effects..... 5-38

5.7.4 Modified Project 5-40

5.7.5 Memorandum of Agreement..... 5-43

5.8 CONSULTATION UNDER SECTION 4(F) 5-43

5.9 DRAFT EIR/EIS 5-44

5.10 RECIRCULATED DRAFT EIR/SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT EIS..... 5-46

5.11 “RECIRCULATED SECTIONS OF CHAPTER 4.0 (III, AIR QUALITY; VII, GREENHOUSE GASES; 4.5, CLIMATE CHANGE; AND TABLE 4.10)” OF THE RECIRCULATED DRAFT EIR 5-46

5.12 TRANSPORTATION CONFORMITY WORKING GROUP..... 5-47

CHAPTER 6 LIST OF PREPARERS 6-1

CHAPTER 7 DISTRIBUTION LIST 7-1

7.1 FEDERAL AGENCIES 7-2

7.2 STATE AGENCIES 7-3

7.3 REGIONAL/COUNTY/CITY AGENCIES 7-5

7.4 TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS 7-10

7.5 SCHOOL DISTRICTS 7-11

7.6 SPECIAL DISTRICTS/UTILITIES 7-11

7.7 INTERESTED PARTIES 7-13

7.8 PUBLIC 7-15

APPENDIX A	CEQA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST	A-1
APPENDIX B	FINAL SECTION 4(F) EVALUATION	B-1
APPENDIX C	TITLE VI POLICY STATEMENT	C-1
APPENDIX D	SUMMARY OF RELOCATION BENEFITS.....	D-1
APPENDIX E	GLOSSARY OF TECHNICAL TERMS.....	E-1
APPENDIX F	ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS RECORD	F-1
APPENDIX G	LIST OF ACRONYMS	G-1
APPENDIX H	LIST OF TECHNICAL STUDIES	H-1
APPENDIX I	SUPPLEMENTAL CHAPTER 2 ATTACHMENTS.....	I-1
APPENDIX J	SUPPLEMENTAL CHAPTER 5 ATTACHMENTS.....	J-1
APPENDIX K	2012 RTP AND 2015 FTIP PROJECT LISTINGS	K-1
APPENDIX L	USFWS LETTER – SPECIES LIST.....	L-1
APPENDIX M	MID COUNTY PARKWAY PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE/ PRELIMINARY LEDPA IDENTIFICATION (NEPA/ 404 CHECKPOINT 3)	M-1
APPENDIX N	REGIONAL SPECIES OF CONCERN AND COVERAGE UNDER THE WESTERN RIVERSIDE COUNTY MULTIPLE SPECIES HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN	N-1
APPENDIX O	PARCEL ACQUISITIONS	O-1
APPENDIX P	HABITAT MITIGATION AND MONITORING PLAN FOR USACE JURISDICTIONAL WATERS.....	P-1
APPENDIX Q	REFERENCES	Q-1
APPENDIX R	INDEX	R-1
APPENDIX S	RESPONSES TO COMMENTS.....	S-1
APPENDIX T	WESTERN RIVERSIDE COUNTY MULTIPLE SPECIES HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION.....	T-1
APPENDIX U	MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION AND THE CALIFORNIA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER REGARDING THE MID COUNTY PARKWAY PROJECT.....	U-1
APPENDIX V	RESPONSES TO COMMENTS ON THE “RECIRCULATED SECTIONS OF CHAPTER 4.0 (III, AIR QUALITY; VII, GREENHOUSE GASES; 4.5, CLIMATE CHANGE; AND TABLE 4.10)”.....	V-1
APPENDIX W	BIOLOGICAL OPINION.....	W-1

List of Figures

Figure S.1 MCP Build Alternatives Modified	ES-9
Figure 1.1.1 Regional Location	1-3
Figure 1.2.1 Hemet to Corona/Lake Elsinore Study Area	1-7
Figure 1.3.1 Riverside County General Plan Circulation Element	1-19
Figure 1.3.2 Freeways and Other State Highways	1-23
Figure 1.3.3 Jurisdictional Boundaries	1-33
Figure 1.3.4 Related Transportation Improvement Projects	1-37
Figure 2.1.1 Project Vicinity and Study Area	2-3
Figure 2.3.1a Alternative 4 Modified	2-11
Figure 2.3.1b Alternative 5 Modified	2-15
Figure 2.3.1c Alternative 9 Modified	2-19
Figure 2.3.1d San Jacinto River Bridge Base Case	2-21
Figure 2.3.1e San Jacinto River Bridge Design Variation	2-25
Figure 2.3.2 Typical Sections	2-29
Figure 2.3.3 Typical Local Street Interchanges	2-33
Figure 2.3.4 Cut, Fill, and Retaining Walls	2-41
Figure 2.3.5 Local Borrow Sites	2-49
Figure 2.3.6a Potential Phasing Plan (Alternative 4 Modified)	2-55
Figure 2.3.6b Potential Phasing Plan (Alternative 5 Modified)	2-57
Figure 2.3.6c Potential Phasing Plan (Alternative 9 Modified)	2-59
Figure 2.5a Retaining Walls to Reduce Effects on Los Angeles Pocket Mouse Habitat	2-115
Figure 3.1.1 Existing Land Uses in the Right of Way	3.1-3
Figure 3.1.2 General Plan Land Uses in the Right of Way	3.1-9
Figure 3.1.3 Liberty Park - Alternative 5 Modified	3.1-59
Figure 3.1.4 Liberty Park - Alternative 9 Modified	3.1-61
Figure 3.1.5 General Plan Trails	3.1-63
Figure 3.2.1 Lands Targeted for Development and Conservation in MCP Study Area	3.2-7
Figure 3.3.1 Farmlands	3.3-5
Figure 3.4.1 American Community Survey 2005-2009 and Census 2010 Boundaries	3.4-5
Figure 3.4.2 Non-White Population 2010	3.4-9
Figure 3.4.3 Hispanic Population 2010	3.4-11
Figure 3.4.4 Transit-Dependent Population 2009	3.4-17
Figure 3.4.5 Public Services and Facilities in the MCP Study Area	3.4-19
Figure 3.4.6a Poverty 2009	3.4-45
Figure 3.4.6b Poverty 2009	3.4-47
Figure 3.4.7a Median Household Income 2009	3.4-49
Figure 3.4.7b Median Household Income 2009	3.4-51
Figure 3.6.1 LOS for Freeway Facilities	3.6-3
Figure 3.6.2 LOS for Signalized Intersections	3.6-5
Figure 3.7.1 Elevations and Landscape Units	3.7-3
Figure 3.7.2 Key View Locations	3.7-11
Figure 3.7.3 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 16	3.7-13
Figure 3.7.4 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 17	3.7-17
Figure 3.7.5 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 18	3.7-19
Figure 3.7.6 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 19	3.7-23

Figure 3.7.7 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 20.....	3.7-25
Figure 3.7.8 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 21.....	3.7-29
Figure 3.7.9 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 22.....	3.7-31
Figure 3.7.10 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 23b.....	3.7-35
Figure 3.7.11 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 24.....	3.7-37
Figure 3.7.12 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 25.....	3.7-41
Figure 3.7.13 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 26.....	3.7-43
Figure 3.7.14 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 27.....	3.7-47
Figure 3.7.15 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 28.....	3.7-49
Figure 3.7.16 Mid County Parkway Visual Impact Assessment Key View 29.....	3.7-53
Figure 3.9.1 Watershed Boundaries	3.9-3
Figure 3.9.2 FEMA 100-Year Floodplain Overview Map	3.9-7
Figure 3.9.3 Floodplain – Perris Valley Storm Drain.....	3.9-11
Figure 3.9.4 Floodplain – San Jacinto River Bridge at SR-79 Interchange	3.9-15
Figure 3.10.1 Surface Waters	3.10-7
Figure 3.10.2 Groundwater Management Zones.....	3.10-13
Figure 3.10.3 Proposed Treatment BMP Locations	3.10-19
Figure 3.11.1 Regional Geologic Characteristics.....	3.11-3
Figure 3.11.2 Landforms and Topographic Features	3.11-5
Figure 3.11.3 Fault Zones.....	3.11-9
Figure 3.12.1 Paleontological Resource Sensitivity Map.....	3.12-11
Figure 3.13.1 Hazardous Waste/Materials Sites.....	3.13-9
Figure 3.14.1 CO Protocol Requirements for New Projects	3.14-15
Figure 3.14.2 CO Protocol Requirements for Local CO Analysis	3.14-21
Figure 3.14.3 National MSAT Emission Trends.....	3.14-39
Figure 3.15.1 Monitored and Modeled Receptor Locations – All Alternatives	3.15-107
Figure 3.15.2 Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers and Receptor Locations - Alternative 4 Modified.....	3.15-131
Figure 3.15.3 Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers and Receptor Locations - Alternative 5 Modified.....	3.15-141
Figure 3.15.4 Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers and Receptor Locations - Alternative 9 Modified.....	3.15-161
Figure 3.15.5 Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers and Receptor Locations – San Jacinto River Bridge Design Variation for Alternatives 4, 5, and 9 Modified	3.15-183
Figure 3.17.1 Western Riverside County MSHCP Public/Quasi-Public Lands and Criteria Area	3.17-5
Figure 3.17.2 Stephens’ Kangaroo Rat Reserves	3.17-9
Figure 3.17.3 MSHCP Survey Area Species Habitat Suitable for Long-Term Conservation	3.17-29
Figure 3.18.1 USACE Jurisdictional Areas.....	3.18-9
Figure 3.18.2 CDFW Jurisdictional Areas	3.18-11
Figure 3.21.1 Critical Habitat Areas and Listed Species.....	3.21-9
Figure 3.25.1 Cumulative Projects	3.25-7
Figure 4.1 California Greenhouse Gas Forecast.....	4-130
Figure 4.2 Possible Effect of Traffic Operation Strategies in Reducing On-Road CO ₂ Emission.....	4-131
Figure 4.3 The Mobility Pyramid.....	4-137

List of Tables

Table S.1 Impacts of the MCP Build Alternatives.....	ES-31
Table S.2 Permits and Approvals Needed	ES-69
Table 1.2.A Cost Estimate for Alternative 9 Modified with the SJRB Design Variation (Preferred Alternative)	1-12
Table 1.3.A Existing Accident Data on I-215 Mainline and Ramps: October 2009 through September 2012	1-27
Table 1.3.B Summary of Accident History, Ramona Expressway	1-28
Table 2.3.A Mainline MCP Service Interchange Configuration Types.....	2-31
Table 2.3.B Retaining Wall Summary by Alternative	2-43
Table 2.3.C Noise Barriers by Alternative.....	2-44
Table 2.3.D Earthwork by Alternative.....	2-48
Table 2.3.E Earthwork Truck Hours by Alternative.....	2-51
Table 2.3.F Proposed Culverts.....	2-53
Table 2.4.A Cost Breakdown for the MCP Build Alternatives.....	2-70
Table 2.4.B Comparison of the Alternatives.....	2-71
Table 2.5.A Detail Matrix of the Evaluation of the Mid County Parkway Build Alternatives	2-81
Table 2.5.B: Detail Matrix of the Evaluation of Alternative 9 Modified Design Variations and Section 404 No Action Alternative	2-87
Table 2.5.C Effects of the MCP Project and the Proposed Realignment to Avoid the San Jacinto Wildlife Area.....	2-100
Table 2.5.D Preliminary Cost Estimate for Alternative 9 Modified with the SJRB Design Variation (Preferred Alternative).....	2-117
Table 2.6.A Summary of Alternatives Withdrawn from Further Study	2-118
Table 2.7.A Permits and Approvals Needed.....	2-122
Table 3.1.A Proposed Land Uses in the MCP Study Area	3.1-15
Table 3.1.B Existing Land Use Impacts by Alternative in Acres	3.1-38
Table 3.1.C General Plan Land Use Impacts by Alternative in Acres.....	3.1-40
Table 3.1.D Trail Impacts	3.1-67
Table 3.3.A MCP Study Area Farmland Acreages by Category	3.3-2
Table 3.3.B Form NRCS-CPA-106 Final Scoring.....	3.3-8
Table 3.3.C Permanent Conversion of Designated Farmland to Transportation Uses by Alternative (acres)	3.3-10
Table 3.3.D Impacts to Agricultural Zoning per Alternative.....	3.3-11
Table 3.3.E Williamson Act Preserves	3.3-11
Table 3.4.A Ethnic Composition	3.4-13
Table 3.4.B Housing Profile	3.4-14
Table 3.4.C Employment within Affected Communities	3.4-22
Table 3.4.D Travel Patterns (2010).....	3.4-23
Table 3.4.E: Estimated Construction Employment Under the Build Alternatives.....	3.4-31
Table 3.4.F Displacements by Alternative.....	3.4-34
Table 3.4.G Number of Displaced Employees by Alternative and Jurisdiction	3.4-36
Table 3.4.H Property Revenue Loss	3.4-38
Table 3.4.I Sales Tax Revenue Losses by Alternative and Jurisdiction	3.4-40
Table 3.4.J Environmental Justice Considerations by Alternative	3.4-54
Table 3.5.A Temporary Impacts to Utility Facilities	3.5-6
Table 3.11.B Cut-and-Fill Material by Alternative.....	3.5-10
Table 3.6.A Existing, 2020, and 2040 Freeway and Ramp Capacity Analysis: I-15.....	3.6-9

List of Tables

Table 3.6.B	2010, 2020, and 2040 Intersection Capacity Analysis: I-15 Area	3.6-12
Table 3.6.C	Existing, 2020, and 2040 Freeway and Ramp Capacity Analysis: I-215	3.6-13
Table 3.6.D	2010, 2020, and 2040 Intersection Capacity Analysis: I-215 Area	3.6-17
Table 3.6.E	2010, 2020, and 2040 Intersection Capacity Analysis: Cajalco Road, I-15 to I-215	3.6-20
Table 3.6.F	2010, 2020, and 2040 Intersection Capacity Analysis: Ramona Expressway, I-215 to SR-79	3.6-21
Table 3.6.G	2020 Freeway Ramps Peak-Hour LOS for MCP, I-15, and I-215 Traffic Study Area	3.6-27
Table 3.6.H	2020 Freeway Mainline Peak-Hour LOS for MCP, I-15, and I-215 Traffic Study Area	3.6-29
Table 3.6.I	Intersection Peak-Hour LOS for Opening Year 2020 Conditions	3.6-31
Table 3.6.J	2040 Freeway Ramps Peak-Hour LOS for MCP, I-15, and I-215 Traffic Study Area	3.6-35
Table 3.6.K	2040 Freeway Mainline Peak-Hour LOS for MCP, I-15, and I-215 Traffic Study Area	3.6-39
Table 3.6.L	Intersection Peak-Hour LOS for Horizon Year 2040 Conditions	3.6-43
Table 3.6.M	Vehicle Travel Time Between I-215 and SR-79	3.6-51
Table 3.6.N	Alternative 1B 2040 Intersection Capacity Analysis: I-215 Area and I- 215 to SR-79	3.6-52
Table 3.7.A	Key View Summary	3.7-9
Table 3.7.B	Existing and Proposed Visual Quality	3.7-55
Table 3.10.A	Surface Water Beneficial Uses	3.10-9
Table 3.10.B	Surface Water Quality Objectives for Inland Surface Waters	3.10-11
Table 3.10.C	Site-Specific Water Quality Objectives	3.10-12
Table 3.10.D	Groundwater Quality Objectives	3.10-16
Table 3.10.E	Anticipated Total Suspended Solids Loading and Concentration	3.10-26
Table 3.10.F	Anticipated Total Phosphorus Loading and Concentration	3.10-27
Table 3.10.G	Anticipated Nitrate Loading and Concentration	3.10-27
Table 3.10.H	Anticipated Total Copper Loading and Concentration	3.10-29
Table 3.10.I	Anticipated Total Lead Loading and Concentration	3.10-29
Table 3.10.J	Anticipated Total Zinc Loading and Concentration	3.10-30
Table 3.10.K	Anticipated Dissolved Metals Concentrations Compared with Water Quality Criteria	3.10-30
Table 3.10.L	Proposed Construction Site BMPs	3.10-34
Table 3.11.A	Active Faults in the Mid County Parkway Study Area	3.11-11
Table 3.11.B	Cut-and-Fill Material by Alternative	3.11-18
Table 3.12.A	Geologic Time Periods and Sedimentary Units within the MCP Project Area	3.12-4
Table 3.12.B	Paleontological Sensitivities within the MCP Project Area	3.12-9
Table 3.12.C	MCP Build Alternatives in Relation to Sensitive Geologic Units	3.12-14
Table 3.13.A	Hazardous Substances Releases within the Study Area	3.13-3
Table 3.13.B	Hazardous Materials and Waste Use, Storage, and Generators within the Study Area	3.13-22
Table 3.13.C	Number of Hazardous Materials and Waste Release, Use, Storage, and Generators within 0.25 Mile of Each Alternative Alignment	3.13-32
Table 3.14.A	Ambient Air Quality Monitored at the Perris Air Monitoring Station	3.14-6
Table 3.14.B	Ambient Air Quality Monitored at the Lake Elsinore Air Monitoring Station	3.14-7
Table 3.14.C	Ambient Air Quality Monitored at the Riverside-Rubidoux Air Monitoring Station	3.14-8

Table 3.14.D State and Federal Criteria Air Pollutant Standards, Effects, and Sources	3.14-10
Table 3.14.E Traffic Volume Comparison.....	3.14-27
Table 3.14.F Existing (2010) and No Build (2020 and 2040) Average Daily Traffic Volumes (Average Daily Truck Volumes)	3.14-31
Table 3.14.G 2020 Project Alternative Average Daily Traffic Volumes (Average Daily Truck Volumes).....	3.14-31
Table 3.14.H 2040 Project Alternative Average Daily Traffic Volumes (Average Daily Truck Volumes).....	3.14-31
Table 3.14.I Daily PM _{2.5} Emissions (lbs/day).....	3.14-32
Table 3.14.J Daily PM ₁₀ Emissions (lbs/day)	3.14-32
Table 3.14.K 2020 No Project/County General Plan Intersection LOS	3.14-34
Table 3.14.L 2020 Alternative 4 Modified Intersection LOS.....	3.14-34
Table 3.14.M 2020 Alternative 5 Modified Intersection LOS.....	3.14-35
Table 3.14.N 2020 Alternative 9 Modified Intersection LOS	3.14-35
Table 3.14.O 2040 No Project/County General Plan Intersection LOS	3.14-36
Table 3.14.P 2040 Alternative 4 Modified Intersection LOS	3.14-36
Table 3.14.Q 2040 Alternative 5 Modified Intersection LOS	3.14-37
Table 3.14.R 2040 Alternative 9 Modified Intersection LOS.....	3.14-37
Table 3.14.S MSAT Emissions for the MCP Study Area (lbs/day).....	3.14-45
Table 3.14.T 2008 Regional Vehicle Emissions (lbs/day).....	3.14-47
Table 3.14.U 2020 Regional Vehicle Emissions (lbs/day)	3.14-47
Table 3.14.V 2040 Regional Vehicle Emissions (lbs/day)	3.14-47
Table 3.14.W Maximum Project Construction Emissions (lbs/day).....	3.14-52
Table 3.15.A Noise Abatement Criteria for use in NEPA-23-CFR 772 Analysis	3.15-2
Table 3.15.B Noise Levels of Common Activities	3.15-3
Table 3.15.C Short-Term Ambient Noise Monitoring Results	3.15-17
Table 3.15.D Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 1	3.15-24
Table 3.15.E Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 2.....	3.15-25
Table 3.15.F Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 3.....	3.15-26
Table 3.15.G Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 4	3.15-27
Table 3.15.H Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 5	3.15-28
Table 3.15.I Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 6.....	3.15-29
Table 3.15.J Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 7	3.15-30
Table 3.15.K Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 8	3.15-31
Table 3.15.L Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 9.....	3.15-32
Table 3.15.M Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 10	3.15-33
Table 3.15.N Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 11	3.15-34
Table 3.15.O Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 12	3.15-35
Table 3.15.P Interior/Exterior Noise Monitoring Results	3.15-35
Table 3.15.Q Existing and Predicted 2040 Noise Levels and Noise Barrier Analysis for Alternative 4 Modified	3.15-37
Table 3.15.R Existing and Predicted 2040 Noise Levels and Noise Barrier Analysis for Alternative 5 Modified	3.15-43
Table 3.15.S Existing and Predicted 2040 Noise Levels and Noise Barrier Analysis for Alternative 9 Modified	3.15-52
Table 3.15.T Existing and Predicted 2040 Noise Levels and Noise Barrier Analysis for Alternative 4 Modified San Jacinto River Bridge Design Variation	3.15-59
Table 3.15.U Existing and Predicted 2040 Noise Levels and Noise Barrier Analysis for Alternative 5 Modified San Jacinto River Bridge Design Variation	3.15-61

Table 3.15.V Existing and Predicted 2040 Noise Levels and Noise Barrier Analysis for Alternative 9 Modified San Jacinto River Bridge Design Variation.....	3.15-63
Table 3.15.W Existing and Predicted 2040 Noise Levels and Noise Barrier Analysis for San Jacinto North Design Variation.....	3.15-65
Table 3.15.X Existing and Predicted 2040 Noise Levels and Noise Barrier Analysis for Alternatives 5 Modified and 9 Modified Interior/Exterior.....	3.15-66
Table 3.15.Y Vehicle Distributions and Vehicle Speeds	3.15-69
Table 3.15.Z Noise Barrier Feasibility and Reasonableness for Alternatives 4 Modified, 5 Modified, and 9 Modified	3.15-81
Table 3.15.AA Summary of Optimized Abatement Information.....	3.15-93
Table 3.15.AB Summary of Preliminary Recommended Noise Barriers.....	3.15-96
Table 3.15.AC Typical Construction Equipment Noise Levels	3.15-100
Table 3.16.A Annual Transportation Energy Consumption in the SCAG Region.....	3.16-2
Table 3.16.B MCP Study Area Daily Fuel Consumption Comparison.....	3.16-4
Table 3.16.C MCP Study Area Indirect Energy Comparison	3.16-6
Table 3.17.A Land Cover within the BSA	3.17-12
Table 3.17.B Impacts to Land Cover Categories.....	3.17-19
Table 3.17.C Permanent Impacts to Riparian/Riverine Areas (acres), Excluding SR-79 Realignment Impacts ¹	3.17-20
Table 3.17.D Permanent Impacts to Other Natural Communities of Special Concern.....	3.17-22
Table 3.17.E Impacts to Least Bell’s Vireo Habitat Suitable for Long-Term Conservation.....	3.17-32
Table 3.17.F Permanent Impacts to Habitats Suitable for Long-Term Conservation of Narrow Endemic Plant Survey Species.....	3.17-34
Table 3.17.G Permanent and Temporary Impacts to Habitat Suitable for Long- Term Conservation of Additional Survey Species.....	3.17-37
Table 3.17.H Impacts to Western Riverside County MSHCP Criteria Area.....	3.17-50
Table 3.17.I Impacts to Western Riverside County MSHCP Cores and Linkages (acres)	3.17-51
Table 3.17.J Impacts to Western Riverside County MSHCP Public/Quasi-Public Lands (acres).....	3.17-52
Table 3.17.K Temporary Impacts to Riparian/Riverine Areas (acres), excluding SR-79 Realignment Impacts	3.17-59
Table 3.18.A Wetlands Functions	3.18-13
Table 3.18.B Impacts to Wetlands and Other Jurisdictional Areas.....	3.18-16
Table 3.18.C Permanent Impacts to USACE Jurisdictional Wetlands and Nonwetland Waters by Drainage System	3.18-19
Table 3.18.D: Detail Matrix of the Evaluation of the Mid County Parkway Build Alternatives.....	3.18-20
Table 3.18.E: Detail Matrix of the Evaluation of Alternative 9 Modified Design Variations.....	3.18-26
Table 3.18.F Normalized Rank Scores of All Criteria for Alternatives Corridor Alignments.....	3.18-37
Table 3.18.G Temporary Impacts to Wetlands and Other Jurisdictional Areas.....	3.18-39
Table 3.18.H Temporary Impacts to USACE Jurisdictional Wetlands and Nonwetland Waters by Drainage System	3.18-41
Table 3.18.I Summary of Impacts of the Preferred Alternative (Alternative 9 Modified with the SJRB DV) on Wetlands and Other Waters	3.18-43
Table 3.20.A Impacts to Burrowing Owl Breeding/Foraging/Nesting Habitat.....	3.20-4

Table 3.20.B Impacts to Los Angeles Pocket Mouse Habitat Suitable for Long-Term Conservation 3.20-6

Table 3.21.A Threatened and Endangered Species that May Occur in the Project Area 3.21-3

Table 3.21.B Impacts to Threatened and Endangered Species 3.21-7

Table 4.III.A Maximum Project Construction Emissions before Mitigation (lbs/day)..... 4-15

Table 4.III.B Maximum Project Construction Emissions after Mitigation (lbs/day)..... 4-16

Table 4.III.C Haul Truck Trip Emissions (lbs/day) 4-17

Table 4.III.D 2008 Regional Vehicle Emissions (lbs/day) 4-18

Table 4.III.E 2020 Regional Vehicle Emissions (lbs/day)..... 4-18

Table 4.III.F 2040 Regional Vehicle Emissions (lbs/day)..... 4-19

Table 4.III.G Results of Health Risk Assessment Modeling (Construction) 4-24

Table 4.XII.A Summary of Preliminary Recommended Noise Barriers for the Preferred Alternative 4-79

Table 4.XVI.A Intersection Peak-Hour Levels of Service for Existing and Existing Plus Project (Preferred Alternative) Conditions..... 4-100

Table 4.XVI.B Existing (2010) and Existing Plus Project (Preferred Alternative) Freeway Mainline Peak Hour Level of Service 4-104

Table 4.XVI.C Existing (2010) Plus Project Freeway Ramps Peak-Hour LOS 4-106

Table 4.XVI.D Intersection Peak-Hour Levels of Service for Existing Plus Project (Preferred Alternative) Conditions With Mitigation 4-110

Table 4.5.A Change in Regional CO₂ Emissions..... 4-132

Table 4.5.B Maximum Project Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions..... 4-133

Table 4.5.C Total Increase in Regional CO₂ Emissions (Metric Tons) between 2020 and 2040 4-134

Table 4.5.D Climate Change/CO₂ Reduction Strategies..... 4-138

Table 4.8.1 Summary of Potential Impacts..... 4-149

Table 4.8.2 Environmental Superiority by Impact Category 4-160